CIL Infrastructure Assessment Criteria and Scoring Sheet

Service Area:	
Project name:	
Project reference:	

Eligibility Criteria (All five eligibility criteria must be met)

	Y/N
The scheme is an infrastructure project identified in the Regulation 123 List	Υ
The project does not benefit (or cannot attract) sufficient funding from other sources	Υ
The ongoing revenue implications of the project have been fully assessed and evidence is provided of how these will be met in the future	Υ
The infrastructure will support the development of the county borough in line with the local development plan.	Υ
The scheme will contribute to the delivery of sustainable development in the county borough	Y

Desirability criteria

	Score	Weighting	Total
There is a statutory requirement for provision to be made	10	1.5	15
The scheme will upgrade and/or improve the existing infrastructure network to improve its efficiency and effectiveness	10	1	10
The CIL revenue will lever in match funding from other sources	10	2	20
The scheme meets corporate objectives and is a priority scheme for the Council	10	1.5	15
Is there a requirement for CIL contribution toward revenue costs of the infrastructure.	10	1	5
Totals	50		65

Deliverability criteria

	Score	Weighting	Total
Evidence is provided that demonstrates that the scheme can only be delivered with CIL support	10	1	10
The project description demonstrates how social, economic and environmental benefits will be delivered through the delivery of the scheme	10	1	10
Evidence is provided identifying the extent to which the project supports the development of the county borough in line with the Local Development Plan.	10	2	20
Are the necessary land and factors in place to enable the expedient delivery of the project	10	1.5	15
Totals	40		55

Overall score (desirability + deliverability)	120
---	-----

Guidance for Scoring Submitted CIL Schemes

This Guidance note sets out the scoring system to be used on the Assessment Proforma for all schemes submitted for CIL funding. Each of the Assessment Criteria are considered in turn and, for the Desirability and Deliverability Criteria, relevant scores are provided with definitions of what is necessary to achieve that score.

It should be noted that, whilst the maximum score for these criteria is 10, not every number is used to score in any one criterion, e.g. the second desirability criteria can only realise scores of 10, 7, 4 and 0, and schemes can only be scored with these values.

In addition to this, each criterion is attributed a weighting and the total score for that criterion is the score awarded multiplied by the weighting, e.g. for the first desirability criteria a scheme realises a Criteria score of 8, but the total score for the criteria would actually be 12 (as the 8 is multiplied by the weighting of 1.5)

Eligibility Criteria (Yes / No Responses)						
Criteria	Response	Comment				
The scheme is an infrastructure project identified in the Regulation 123 List	Yes / No					
The project does not benefit (or cannot attract) sufficient funding from other sources	Yes / No	These criteria are Absolute tests.				
The ongoing revenue implications of the project have been fully assessed and evidence is provided of how these will be met in the future	Yes / No	In order for a scheme to be progressed a "Yes" response must be returned on <u>ALL</u> Criteria				
The infrastructure will support the development of the county borough in line with the local development plan.	Yes / No	One single "No" response and the project cannot be funded by CIL				
The scheme will contribute to the delivery of sustainable development in the county borough	Yes / No					

Desirability Criteria (Maximum score 10 points each)				
Criteria	Weight	Score	Definition	
			General Comment: The scoring for this Criterion is based on a balance between whether the infrastructure is a statutory requirement for the council and whether it is essential for permitted development	
		0	There is no pressing need for either the council or permitted or commenced development	
There is a statutory requirement for provision to be made	1.5	2	It is desirable to provide this infrastructure for permitted or commenced development	
	1.5	4	It is desirable for the council to deliver this infrastructure	
		6	It is desirable for the council to deliver and desirable for permitted or commenced development	
		8	It is desirable for the council to deliver and is essential for permitted or commenced development	
		10	It is a statutory Requirement for the council to deliver this infrastructure	
The scheme will upgrade and/or improve the existing infrastructure network to improve its efficiency and effectiveness	1	0	No evidence has been submitted	
		4	Evidence has been submitted but it does not clearly demonstrate that the scheme will either improve or upgrade the existing infrastructure network or relates solely to new infrastructure	
		7	Evidence has been provided that demonstrates that the scheme will, to a certain extent, upgrade and improve the existing infrastructure network	
		10	Evidence has been provided that demonstrates that the scheme will significantly upgrade and improve the existing infrastructure network	

Criteria	Weight	Score	Definition
		0	The scheme is not able to attract match funding
The CII revenue		3	The scheme has the potential to realise match funding of up to 50% of the total scheme cost
The CIL revenue will lever in match funding from	2	5	The scheme has the potential to realise match funding of between 50% and 99% of the total scheme cost
other sources		8	Scheme has been allocated match funding of up to 50% of the total scheme cost
		10	Scheme has been allocated match funding that equates to between 50% and 99% of the total scheme cost
The scheme meets corporate objectives and is a priority scheme for the Council	1.5	0	No evidence has been submitted, or evidence that has been submitted does not demonstrate that the scheme meets the objectives of any council document or plan.
		3	Evidence has been submitted that demonstrates that the scheme meets the corporate objectives of a council document, other than the LDP.
		6	Evidence has been provided that demonstrates that the scheme meets the Council's priorities, but not the LDP.
		8	Evidence has been provided that demonstrates that the scheme meets the objectives of the LDP, but does specifically address the Council's priorities
		10	Evidence has been provided that demonstrates that the scheme meets the Objectives of both the LDP and the Council's priorities
	0.5	0	No evidence has been submitted, or evidence has been submitted that identifies that a significant CIL contribution is essential to meet the ongoing revenue costs of the scheme.
Is there a requirement for CIL contribution toward revenue		3	Evidence has been submitted that a small CIL contribution will be required toward revenue costs
		5	Evidence has been submitted that does not conclusively demonstrate that there will be no requirement for CIL funding to wards revenue costs
		10	Evidence has been provided that demonstrates that the scheme will require no CIL contribution to the revenue costs of the scheme after implementation

infrastructure.

Deliverability Criteria (Maximum score 10 points each)				
Criteria	Weight	Score	Definition	
Evidence is provided that demonstrates that the scheme can only be delivered with CIL support	1	0	No evidence has been provided or the evidence does not clearly demonstrate that the scheme can only be delivered with CIL funding	
		10	Evidence has been provided that clearly demonstrates that the scheme can only be delivered with CIL funding	
The project description demonstrates how social, economic and environmental benefits will be delivered through the delivery of the scheme	c 1 h	0	No evidence has been provided or evidence has been provided that does not clearly identify whether any social, economic or environmental benefits will be realised.	
		5	Evidence has been provided that clearly identifies that the scheme will realise benefits to 1 of the 3 factors.	
		8	Evidence has been provided that clearly identifies that the scheme will realise benefits to 2 of the 3 factors.	
		10	Evidence has been provided that clearly identifies that the scheme will realise benefits for all 3 factors.	

Criteria	Weight	Score	Definition
Evidence is provided		0	No evidence has been submitted or the evidence does not clearly demonstrate that the scheme will support development in accordance with the development plan.
identifying the extent to which the project		4	Evidence has been submitted that clearly demonstrates that the scheme will encourage development that is in accordance with the Adopted Development Plan
supports the development of	supports the development of	6	Evidence has been submitted that demonstrates that the scheme will encourage allocated development in the Adopted Development Plan
the county borough in line with the Local		8	Evidence has been submitted that clearly demonstrates that the scheme will enable development that is in accordance with the Adopted Development Plan
Development Plan.		10	Evidence has been submitted that clearly demonstrates that the scheme will enable allocated development in the Adopted Development Plan
Are the necessary land and factors in place to enable the expedient delivery of the project	1.5	0	No evidence has been submitted or, the evidence does not clearly demonstrate that the scheme can be delivered within 24 months for schemed funded from the cumulative pot, or within 12 months for all other schemes
		4	For schemes funded through the cumulative pot, Evidence has been submitted that clearly demonstrates that, whilst all land and factors are not in place, the delivery of the scheme will be commenced within 24 months of funding being awarded
		6	Evidence has been submitted that clearly demonstrates that, whilst all land and factors are not in place, the delivery of the scheme will be commenced within 12 months of funding being awarded
		8	Evidence has been submitted that clearly demonstrates that, whilst all land and factors are not in place, the and delivery of the scheme will be commenced within 6 months of funding being awarded
		10	Evidence has been submitted that clearly demonstrates that all land and factors are in place and delivery the scheme can be commenced immediately upon funding being awarded